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1 **Introduction**

The criteria for identifying local heritage assets shall be used by the District Council to determine whether buildings, landscapes or areas in North West Leicestershire should be identified as locally listed buildings or locally registered gardens, or designated as conservation areas.

1.1 **Statutory context**

Section 69 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 defines a conservation area as an area “of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance”.

The District Council has a duty to determine periodically which parts of the district meet this definition and to “designate those areas as conservation areas” (Section 69(1)).

The District Council also has a duty to review existing conservation areas periodically “to determine whether any ... further parts should be designated” and to “designate those parts accordingly” (Section 69(2)).

Under Section 1 of the Act, the Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport “shall compile lists of buildings of special architectural or historic interest, and may amend any list so compiled” (hence referred to as the ‘statutory list’). Under Section 8C of the National Heritage Act 1983, Historic England may “compile a register of gardens ... of special historic interest”.

The District Council may compile a local list of buildings that have some architectural or historic interest. In the same way, we may compile a local register of gardens that have some historic interest. These are not statutory functions.

1.2 **Statutory criteria**

The Department of Culture, Media & Sport (DCMS) has issued *principles of selection for listing buildings* (2010). These set out the general principles that are applied when deciding whether a building should be added to the statutory list.

**Age and rarity**

- The statutory list includes most buildings constructed before 1840. After this date, “because of the greatly increased number of buildings erected and the much larger numbers that have survived, progressively greater selection is necessary”.
The *principles of selection* state that a building is more likely to have special interest when there are “fewer surviving examples of its kind”.

**Architectural interest**
- The statutory list includes buildings of “importance in their architectural design, decoration or craftsmanship” as well as “nationally important examples of particular building types and techniques”.

**Historic interest**
- The list includes buildings that “illustrate important aspects of the nation’s social, economic, cultural, or military history” as well as those that “have close historic associations with nationally important people”.

**Group value**
- The list includes buildings of which “the exterior contributes to the architectural or historic interest of any group of buildings of which it forms part”, particularly those that form part of “an important architectural or historic unity or a fine example of planning, or where there is a historical functional relationship between a group of buildings”.

### 1.3 Planning policy context

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in March 2012. It advises that, although some heritage assets are not designated, “the effect of an application on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset” is still a material planning consideration (paragraph 135). This consideration should be taken into account in determining any application for Planning Permission relating to such a heritage asset.

Paragraph 169 of the NPPF advises that “local planning authorities should have up-to-date evidence about the historic environment in their area”. The compilation of a local list would contribute to this evidence base.
2 Criteria for identifying local heritage assets

The following four criteria shall be used by the District Council to determine whether buildings, landscapes or areas in North West Leicestershire should be identified as locally listed buildings or locally registered gardens, or designated as conservation areas.

The first three criteria (age and rarity, architectural interest and historic interest) may be termed ‘desk-based’ criteria. That is, a desk-based assessment may be used to determine whether a building, landscape or area fulfils one of these criteria.

To be identified as a local heritage asset, a building, landscape or area should fulfil one of the desk-based criteria and the field assessment criterion.

2.1 Age and rarity

Does the building, landscape or area possess qualities of age?
Is there evidence that the building, landscape or area predates an era of mass production and mass transportation? Does the building, landscape or area exhibit distinctive local traditions, rather than materials or designs imported from elsewhere?

- Is there evidence that the building predates the reign of Queen Victoria (1837)? Can the building be identified on a map surveyed c.1840?

- Does the building otherwise predate an important local watershed such as a population explosion or the arrival of an early transportation route?¹

Does the building, landscape or area possess qualities of age when considered in the context of its type? Is it a particularly early example of its type?

Does the building, landscape or area possess qualities of rarity? Is the building, landscape or area one of the few examples of its type that survive intact? If the building is a school, does it predate the Education Act of 1870?

In the case of an area, is there a concentration of buildings (included on the statutory list or not) that possess qualities of age and rarity?

¹ Note the Midland Railway Company’s Leicester to Burton line, via Coalville and Ashby-de-la-Zouch (1849).
2.2 Architectural interest

**Does the building, landscape or area possess special architectural interest?**

Does the building exhibit quality in its architectural design, craftsmanship or decoration? Is it a locally important example of a particular building type or technique?

- Does the building, landscape or area appear in a recognised architectural gazetteer?

- Does the building exhibit quality in its architectural design? Is it the work of a nationally or locally important designer (architect, landscape architect or civil engineer)?

An assessment of the importance of an architect, landscape architect or civil engineer should be based on:

- The number of listed buildings that they designed, considered in proportion to the length of their career;
- The absolute number of grade I or grade II* listed buildings that they designed.

A fuller assessment may also take into account other factors including:

- The number of buildings they designed that have been recognised as making a particularly positive contribution to the character of a conservation area;
- The number of buildings they designed that have been included on the local list for other reasons (such as their inclusion in a key gazetteer).

- Does the building exhibit quality in its craftsmanship or decoration? Is it the work of a nationally or locally important builder or craftsman?

**Does the building, landscape or area possess architectural group value?**

In the case of an area, is there a concentration of buildings (included on the statutory list or not) that possess special architectural interest? Is the area a fine example of planning? Was it laid out by a locally important designer?
2.3 Historic interest

**Does the building, landscape or area possess special historic interest?** Does the building illustrate an important aspect of local economic, social, cultural, or military history? Does it have a close historic association with a nationally or locally important person, company or organisation?

- Is there an account of the building, landscape or area in a recognised county history or archaeological journal?

- Does the building, landscape or area illustrate an important aspect of local economic history? Does it appear in a recognised gazetteer of industrial archaeology?

- Does the building, landscape or area illustrate an important aspect of local social and cultural history? If the building is a non-conformist chapel, does it appear in a recognised gazetteer of this building type?

**Does the building, landscape or area possess historic group value?** In the case of an area, is there a concentration of buildings (included on the statutory list or not) that together exhibit historic unity? Do the buildings in the area have interest because of a historic functional relationship?

2.4 Field assessment

**Does the building retain its significance?** Have later alterations or extensions preserved or enhanced the significance of the building? If the building is significant for its age and rarity, does it retain a substantial proportion of its original fabric? Please refer to the field assessment checklist at appendix two.
Appendix one: Key gazetteers

The following gazetteers have been chosen because they are quoted as sources in listed building descriptions in the East Midlands. They are selective (as opposed to exhaustive) gazetteers. Other gazetteers may be chosen on the same basis.

Architectural interest


Important designers


Historic interest

– (1862 to present) Transactions of the Leicestershire Archaeological and Historical Society (periodical)
Page W et al (1907 to 1955) Victoria County of the History of Leicester (volumes I to III)
Mee A (1937) The King’s England: Leicestershire and Rutland

Non-conformist chapels

Stell C (1986) Non-conformist chapels and meeting houses in central England

Interest to economic history

Smith D (1965) Industrial archaeology of the East Midlands
Palmer M (1992) Industrial landscapes of the East Midlands

Historic association


---

2 The Victoria History of the County of Leicester is a work in progress. There is no ‘topographical volume’ for the Hundred of West Goscote (equivalent to the modern NW Leicestershire District) but a draft history of Castle Donington parish was prepared in 2014. See www.victoriacountyhistory.ac.uk/counties/leicestershire.
## Appendix two: Field assessment checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Survival: extent</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the building survive intact?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Survival: location</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the building survive in its original location?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Height</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the building retain its characteristic height?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Emphasis</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the window openings retain their characteristic emphasis?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Plan form: overall</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall, does the building retain its characteristic plan form?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Plan form: articulation</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the building retain features that articulate the plan form?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has a simple plan form been kept free of articulating features?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Roof shape: overall</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall, does the building retain its characteristic roof shape?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Roof shape: articulation</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the building retain features that articulate the roof shape?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has a simple roof shape been kept free of articulating features?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Walling materials</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the building retain its characteristic walling materials?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Roofing materials</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the building retain its characteristic roofing materials?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix three: Glossary

Building
In accordance with the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, the term “building” shall refer to any structure or erection, or any part of a building as so defined, although it shall not refer to plant or machinery comprised in a building. The definition of “building” is broad enough to encompass, for example, items of street furniture.

Heritage Asset
In accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the phrase “heritage asset” shall refer to a building, place or landscape positively identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions. Heritage assets are the valued components of the historic environment.

Designated Heritage Asset
In accordance with the NPPF, the phrase “designated heritage asset” shall refer to those heritage assets that have been designated under relevant legislation in recognition of their level of significance. They include listed buildings, conservation areas and registered parks and gardens.

Significance
In accordance with the NPPF, the term “significance” shall refer to the value that a heritage asset has to this and future generations because of its architectural or historic interest.