

Section	Question	
1	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relatively quiet village • HERETAGE SITES TO BE PROTECTED • PARK/PLAY AREA, FOOTPATHS/BRIDLE PATHS • AREA 2 ON MAP 1: ACCESS TO THIS AREA IS NEXT TO THE CHILDRENS' PLAY PARK. IF RETAINED AND HOUSES BUILT, THIS WOULD BE DANGEROUS (DS16) • THE VILLAGE SHOULD REMAIN RURAL. THOSE WHO LIVE HERE CHOSE TO BECAUSE IT IS A QUIET, SMALL VILLAGE. • If the farmers looked after their land, hedgerows, fences and didn't sell off land it would be great, as surrounding countryside would be maintained • Crucially Swinford is a charismatic English village. I hope it stays that way! • Houses need to have space around them with off road parking • Individual houses that take into account the historical properties of the village • We no longer have access to the motorways but I wouldn't move because of it • We do not have access to major road networks, railways and airports now • Keeping the village pub and church open. • We do not have such easy access to main road now. Jnt closed to local traffic is very inconvenient • Protected open spaces and paddocks but NOT all.
	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I would like to see more leisure activities and classes put on in the village hall during the evening • This question is about what you ENJOY but the answers are about what is important- these are two different concepts • IT IS A SHAME THAT THE PUB HAS NOT BEEN A MORE WELCOMING ENVIRONMENT. AS NEWCOMERS THEY WERE VERY UNFRIENDLY. ROADS ARE TOO BUSY FOR A SAFE ENJOYABLE WALK. • THE VILLAGE IS VERY QUIET AND SURROUNDED BY FIELDS • A local shop is needed and the windfarm funds should have been used to set this up, volunteering to run it with a few paid positions • We moved to Swinford because it was a quiet small village. • Pub is key • Motorway noise • The village is not quiet • Really the village architecture has very mixed character from several centuries and decades so I wouldn't describe it as traditional • Village not quiet road noise is REALLY BAD! • Friendly caring community
2	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is an irrelevant question because a) some types of housing will never be provided - 2 care homes in the village closed b) It is the size of the dwellings that is relevant - and the developer decides. • Needs mix to allow younger people to afford to live here • Flats- not new build town(?) Blocks, conversions of existing • Not in a position to comment • Social housing brings with it social problems with no infrastructure locally to deal with [them]

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not a great location unless you can drive for access to services, shop etc, therefore not ideal to be building retirement house unless amenities are greater and public transport services better. • Happy for more housing as long as parking and services (trans/school etc) is sorted too. There isn't the access to outside [for retirement/sheltered/rented] needs a regular bus service for these. • We do not need lots of additional housing forced upon us. • Some of the above don't exist to my knowledge so I've ticked too many already to state we don't think it's a good idea • I don't know the mix of housing in detail, but from what I have seen, there seems to be a good mix, surprisingly so • 1st home flats for those starting out in smallish buildings. Definitely not blocks of flats i.e. no more than 4 per building • We do not need housing estates here. A few individual smaller houses or bungalows for an ageing population • One would be good • What we do not need in Swinford is a large sprawling densely housed estate of new housing. This will obliterate the character of the village. Why have just 4 locations been picked by HDC? What is their rationale?? • Specifically need 3/4 bedroom not 5 bedroom housing for families • All new housing should be eco friendly • Sheltered housing could be provided by conversion of other properties as opposed to building more • Swinford has got enough houses already • Village is not suitable for flats – not appropriate in this location • Last statement on social housing difficult to answer with any accuracy because no numbers given for available social accommodation • We have no care home or sheltered accommodation • Don't know really what some of these terms mean therefore left them • We did have a care home • We need more regular affordable housing for couples and young families. No more large over priced homes for double income families who do not contribute to the life of the village • Not aware of any retirement housing/apartments or care homes and the need for them • There is no care home in Swinford • No idea what proportion of current housing stock is rented • Think people born in the village should have opportunity to have affordable housing if they want rather than housing for rich 'townie' commuters. • Swinford doesn't have space or facilities for a care home or social housing • No point having housing for people without transport as there is no regular bus service or shops! • Not enough affordable homes • Eco friendly need some because we have NOT got any. Want get Care homes out here. • Why did the two0 care homes close? Flats would not fit in with the village Sheltered housing, village too far out and notb accessible to care staff & medics would be same as above.
3	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area 1 on village edge, houses already exist. Area 2 should be used for extension to village green/play area Area 1 – too close to Stanford Hall Estate & conservation area; • Area 1 - would change village character.

- Area 1: one of the more attractive areas where village meets country. Area 2: An ideal site for development into a commercial facility e.g., nature area, village green. Area 1: max 4.
- Area 1 would cause loss of open space within the village and damage the essential characteristics of the village which were previously protected by the conservation area
- Area 1 is 2 sites - these should be separate. The land behind play area should be allotments
- Area 1 – This is a listed building with outbuildings
- Area 1 Just feel Area 1 would be trying to mix old with new and other sites would be completely new
- AREA 1 NEEDS TO RETAIN ITS CHARACTER TO VILLAGE.
- AREA 1 ON MAP 1: 2 HOUSES (DS13)
- MAP 1: AREA1 IS BEHIND THE PLAY PARK, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT TO BE ABLE TO FEEL YOU'RE A RURAL COMMUNITY WHILST STILL IN A CENTRAL POINT OF THE VILLAGE. (DS17)
- AREA TO BE USED TO EXTEND/IMPROVE PLAY AREA
- AREA 1 STAY AS FARMHOUSES AND ENVIRONS. AREA2 CENTRAL OPEN SPACE, BUT IF DEVELOPED WOULD BE GOOD AS VILLAGE CENTRE, VILLAGE HALL, PLAYGROUND ETC. VILLAGE HALL VILLAGE HALL COULD BE USED AS HOUSING SITE.
- Area 1 is serviced by Stanford Rd – no pavement, road too narrow; Area 4 is a fast road with no pavement and would not make new people feel part of the village as it is away from the centre
- Any new buildings would spoil areas 1 and 2 and not fit in with the locations
- Areas 1 and 2 are vital to be kept green at the heart of the village for the community. It is lovely to see both children and adults enjoying area 2 and it is so important
- Areas 1-3 would adversely increase the spread of the village beyond the conservation area boundary
- Area 1 & 2 are in the conservation area and I believe we have enough building there already.
- Area 1-its someone's back yard! Area 2 Glebe land A v. bad place for housing, very poor access and much needed green space in the centre of the village
- Areas 1 and 2 would be ideal. They would increase housing without 'expanding' the village
- Both areas 1 and 2 I see as infill and would change the feel of the village. Area 2 would be better used to extend the play area for older kids plus listed wildlife there. Great crested newts.
- Too close to existing properties (areas 1 and 2)
- Area 1 and 2 would make the village centre too crowded when there are more suitable areas on the fringe of the village.
- Area 2 should be protected open area, no further work in conservation area, including area 1.
- Area 2 - Green space in heart of village Area 1m - loss of traditional farmyard
- AREA 2 AS AREA 1 AS PART OF VILLAGE GREEN & PART FOR COMMUNITY FOCUS AT ALL TIMES. **SEE MAP MARKUPS (DS5)**
- Area 2; close to the only play area in the village for children. Alternative use more desirable Area 1;
- Would prefer this land (area 2) for playground extension
- Area 2 - important open space valued by the community
- Area 2 provides a link between the centre of the village and the surrounding fields which should be maintained. The other areas would increase the expansion of the village, a feature that has blighted other villages in the area
- Area 2 should be green space
- Area 2 – Land should be retained for community benefit & historical beauty
- Area 2 is a green space

- Area 2 should be open land for public use
- Area 2 - we need some space in the centre of the village and it's too small , Simons Close is already cramped. Area 3 - too far out of the village.
- Area 2 max 4
- Area 2 – Kids park – make this area an extended kids area i.e. hard standing track for bikes, zip wire, nature area, grass mounds
- Area 2 should remain as green protected space
- Area 2 is a significant open space in the heart of the village with limited access and would only house a very small number of new homes. It would adversely affect a significant number of existing residents- SEE MAP2 (BC17)
- (Area 2) should be kept as an open area
- Areas 2 & 3 Green Space. 4 worried about road and effect on access to houses
- Area 2) Community area-park should be extended
- (area)2 look after inner space (area) 3 too far out of village
- Housing in area 2 would encroach on the open countryside view from the children's play area and verge.
- Area 2 would be suitable if it can't be used for any other use. Would be unsuitable if it could be used for public green space.
- Shawell Road
- Area 2 next to playground and popular area for village. Allows views out to surrounding fields
- Area 2 Village centre not appropriate
- Area 2 liable to flooding
- Area 3: max 10.
- Area 3 could be suitable for one large estate; no individual released plots or building within existing gardens in the centre of the village
- Area has access problems to rugby Road. Simons Close already provides enough volume to this tricky one
- Just been told area 3 is too far outside village and if that field goes then any field around the village could put in for planning – food for thought!!
- Area 3 detached from village see * on map
- Area 3 f houses are built in area 3 they will be near to the motorway and have to therefore be cheap mass builds in order to sell. Not what we need!
- Area 3 is too far out, too big, not part of the community
- Area 4: max 10.
- Area 4 has already been sold to a building firm and they have planning permission apparently
- Area 4 requires extension of 30MPH limit attention to road calming.
- A green belt area needs to be maintained in the centre of the village. This would also allow an option to extend existing green/play area
- Rugby Rd adjacent to J 19 of M1 - await development completion before ????
- This should be made available to widen the children's play area. See notes on maps.(LO01)
- See notes ref Green Space on map 3 (LO03)
- Important to keep the area around the park green and/or possibly expand the park facilities for children.
- Sites not suitable on Map 3 - Glebe land behind playground. Glebe land off Stanford Rd. Paddock on Stanford Rd. Orchard behind village green.
- Too close to existing properties. Green space needs to be kept to maintain the village feel
- The specification for all new building should be ecologically sound
- Traffic hazard poor visibility for traffic coming from the right of Simons Close. Parking on |Simons Close is a problem
- No new developments necessary

- Need to establish 'green spaces' within village. Even area 3 and 4 would require major road/transport/school upgrades
- Core of the village should be protected. Small infill not going to give numbers needed. Better to have a mixed development with suitable parking and infrastructure
- Village centre already congested with housing etc.
- (Area 4)Very busy road and access problem with cars speeding into the village
- Paddock accessed from Shawell Road - behind Chapel Fields
- Taking away the green space in the centre of the village would dramatically change the character, not to the good!
- Additional housing in these areas would be too cramped.
- Would really restrict any possible expansion of recreational facilities in the village.
- It is wholly within the conservation area and will change the character and remove a valuable green space.
- Would alter the village "feel" too much!
- Too much traffic moving through center of village- unsafe
- Shawell road good and Kilworth road
- Opportunity for park extension. * ideal plot for village allotments
- A mix of types & styles rather than all the same design
- Too close to existing houses and already congested road junctions
- Re: Three storey houses- this would reflect the style & character of several properties within the village and particularly the conservation area
- Terraced housing would not be in the keeping of the village
- It would not be suitable to have flats/apartments/maisonettes
- Need a mixture.
- Narrow road carrying high speed traffic or high ground which could impact flooding on the village.
- Taking away green spaces. Being built in conservation area
- Stanford road not wide enough even on non-event days
- Greenfield sites should be preserved when brownfield sites are available. **SEE COMMENTS ON MAPS (BC12)**
- Need to keep open space in village
- See comments on maps
- There should be a public open space- SEE COMMENT ON MAP (BC14)
- Should limit the number of houses built- SEE MAP 3 (BC16)
- Swinford does not have the basic facilities to support additional development i.e. shop or bus service. A1 Outside village limits A2 Protected open space A3 Not integrated with village A4 More logical but not in village. SEE MAP 3 (BC18)
- DO NOT WISH THE VILLAGE TO GROW ANY FURTHER
- VILLAGE TOO CRAMPED
- THE CENTRE OF THE VILLAGE IS ALREADY DENSE ENOUGH WE HAVE PLAY AREA WITHIN THE VILLAGE, THE Paddock SHOULD STAY AS IT IS. SURROUNDING FIELDS SHOULD BE LEFT ALONE.
- ENOUGH INTERNAL FILL-IN ALREADY
- It will ruin the children's play area and openness of it. With more families in future, park may need extending too
- Too close to centre of village and would change feel and atmosphere, also good for children to have open fields next to play ground
- Because it's building on open countryside. Isn't it pasture land? Blocks views of countryside

3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too many cars are badly parked and also on pavements • Lack of speed control within the village should be considered a significant risk. 7.5t should be explored. • Keep any new building to a minimum • No more houses • Owner/occupier commissioned homes MUST get serious consideration . They MUST NOT be excluded in favour of commercial build. The governments 'right to build' initiative should be recognised, honoured and supported. • An equally important factor is the design of housing. Residents need to be able to object to unsympathetic designs. Current planning policy is too inflexible. • Housing the replicates what is already here • All housing should be in keeping with the style of the village • Keep current mix. • The design should reflect the nature and style of the current village environment, modern large estate repetitive units should be avoided • A good mix • This is actually irrelevant as the mix will be determined by the developer. • Whatever MHDC lets you build • Lots of eco-housing. • We certainly don't need multi-tiered flats! • Not three storey, more bungalows or smaller houses but with at least 2 off road parking spaces each • This question is difficult to answer as set out by yourselves. Yes or No is an easier way to answer – (see questionnaire for refs to this note) • Areas 1 and 2 are important green spaces within the conservation area. No 3 noise lights and air pollution • Area 2: not suitable. Valuable recreation ext. play ground • Planning permission should only be granted when proof of adequate off road parking provision can be shown. It includes change of use of existing buildings and extensions to existing buildings • What the village needs is affordable so that local young people who want to stay and work here can.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current core strategy at policy HS9 protects important open land- as shown on the plan- this reflects the important open paddocks identified in the Conservation Area Character statement. These in the Neighbourhood plan- which has to be in conformity with the local plan & current core strategy • We believe this exercise has already been completed by HDC as part of the statutory local plan • All area are important • In keeping with existing houses and styles • Areas 1&2 (BC13) • See map 2(AH18) • See map 2&3 (MW09) • See maps 2 & 3 mark-ups (ds6) • See map 3(AH09) • See map 3(AH11) • See map 3(AH12) • See map 3(AH20) • See map 3 (MW01)

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See map 3 (MW03) • See Map 3 (MW04) • SEE MAP 3 (BC16) • See notes on map 3 (LO08) • See maps (MW10) • See maps (AH05) • See maps(AH06) • See maps(AH07) • See maps(AH15)
5	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Glebe & Stanford Rd. The water table is close to ground level- it is wetland. These areas are attractive green areas & an amenity. The access is poor and parking is likely to be poor and overspill on road in an attractive part of village. • No • We thought the cricket pitch was private property but a sports field is needed. • There is no shop or bus service (buses are not referred to in the emergency local plan). This is not sustainable development. • Bus service & shop would be beneficial for size of village • Footpaths and bridleways • Village hall to be sold. A new one on playing field, close to school • Include Swinford 2000, sods, skirts as well • The village lacks a shop and bus service • Although we haven't been I think the pub is an important part of the village • The amount of money we are receiving we should be building a new community /sports centre like other local villages • Would be good if the pub was nicer and served good quality food • No children but consider school to be a vital part of community • graveyard/field views/trees/green space • A small shop would be welcome by inhabitants. Bus service to allow young & old transport out of village • We have answered these facilities in respect to importance to us not XXXXXXXX its suitability as stated above the table. The village hall is not very suitable for the size of the parish and its use. • Post office and local shop is highly important. • More recreational space would be a welcome development. E.g. for football/ other ball agmes. Space for children to run around. An area to walk dogs when fields are inaccessible due to livestock. • Bridleways & dog walking routes. Maintenance of footpaths
	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What sports field • No reference to bridleways or footpaths in the surrounding countryside • 3 children went to village school in the past • Children grown up, but school would have been important • Just because you do not use it, does not lessen its importance • May use sports field in future, used to use playground regularly

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • My children now 20 and 23 used the school which was excellent • Don't have children at the moment but when we do I would like to send them to Swinford School • The school is full and our child attends St Andrews at North Kilworth. He is happy there. If not we would try to send him to Swinford because we know it is an excellent school • We came to Swinford in part because of the school. Kids now grown. • Only go to church to attend funerals. So have been 2x in last 15 years • School and playground - used to use when our children were younger. • I was of the impression that the sports field is not generally accessible (except footpath/used by specific clubs) • School children all grown up
	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No objections to Doctors, Care home or Nursery but not relevant to us personally at the moment • Two shops & two residential care homes have closed 10/15 years ago because the village catchment could not support them and a public house. • Pub should be protected • Nursery ok at village hall • If elderly care facilities/housing bigger(?) Village , a branch surgery may be helpful in view of the lack of public transport. • We moved here wanting a quiet, rural village. We do not additional amenities • There should be a community shop funded by the wind farm money • Not liked = not necessary • We used to have two homes which were well used nursery good for some people but not me • Youth club/sports pavilion • Some suggestions not practical or possible in a small rural village • Make pub better. Answers only applicable if we have more housing • If more housing would need transport (Bus) • Res care home/assisted living desirable if the village has shops etc. and public transport • post office • Internet shopping has significantly changed way things are done so convenience store not required • Boxes that are not ticked are due to having no opinion on the items listed. • *Very important! • Neutral feelings on the addition of a care home or nursery. • Village shop would be needed if more houses built • Doctors good idea but you won't get that • Post office as part of shop
6	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The secondary schools & adult learning facilities in Lutterworth serve Swinford very well • Plenty of facilities in Lutterworth & Rugby • Only 1 preschool, so no choice. Numbers too low for a 2nd too low. • Not in a position to comment • Rugby and Lutterworth are close by. • Youth club/sports pavilion

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No view on this - no children • Only if you have transport • Does not need the last two • No experience of the above • The educational facilities of the village don't concern me as there are plenty nearby • No school aged children so unable to say • Secondary school and adult learning are available but not in the village itself • N/A Don't have children so I only know there is a primary school. Unsure about others. Other parked outside the house on a shared private road • Secondary schools in Rugby/Lutterworth easily accessible • Too many services would change the rural aspect of Swinford • Even adult learning changed with more online provision • Do not have children so unable to judge • No secondary school within village - although there is adequate transport to local secondary schools. No transport available to adult learning sites. • How will increased demand for school places be managed if new homes are built in the village? • Have no knowledge. No pre school school children
7	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The village is becoming choked by on street parking. This will become more difficult when we are cut off from M1/M6/A14 with large influxes of visiting traffic- see chaos at start and close of school day. • Possible better street lighting • Footpath to Stanford Hall is necessary • Planning conditions should require adequate off street parking for new developments & prevent existing parking being converted to living space. • 20 mph zone in centre, one way system - centre loop, parking restrictions to stop road blocking • Bridle paths. • One way system for chapel St. • We are uncertain of traffic changes (volume/speeds) until j19 complete. No one way in village please! • Change needed as traffic flow in the village has [increased?] Due to changes at the interchange. • We do have an issue already with car parking on North Street due to school runs and housing with no parking. Additional housing would perpetuate this. • Absolutely sick of people speeding past straight off A14 and motorway! Something needs to be done!! Highly important! • Try to stop parking on pavements - no need! • The old railway lines would make excellent cycle paths • 7.5 tonne weight restriction limit regularly ignored on Rugby Road • Definitely need speed gates, humps on exits of village e.g. Rugby Road, Kilworth Road, Lutterworth Road. No cars should be parking on pavements. Parish Council should look at putting notices on offending vehicles • Investigate the feasibility of making the village centre a one way traffic system • The speed many cars travel through the village is ridiculous. The speed from village centre to A14 route needs addressing • Balancing parking issue with a desire to protect the characteristics is a big conundrum! • The closure of the junction has led to Chapel Street becoming heavily used and dangerous due to all the parked cars near the junction. • Need parking area for school, speed signs are needed on all main roads

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HGV limits need to enforce or measures taken to prevent over limit vehicles cutting through the village. • Footpath too narrow and close to road along the bottom of Kilworth Road approaching the High Street. Traffic too fast along Kilworth Road. • HGV - height limit should start @ North Kilworth to stop access to A5 on new road when completed (and @ A5 end) • Traffic calming causes problems for emergency vehicles, would not like this in the village. • On big even days at Stanford Hall, Stanford Road can be damaged by too much traffic if not managed • Should further development take place this section would need to be reviewed • Introduce a one way system through the village centre and double yellow lines to stop vans parking on the junction with Rugby road-forcing traffic into the path of oncoming traffic at a blind junction! • The number of HGV in the village has increased a number of articulated vehicles have driven down Simons Close in error • We need to have a one way system around the village centre-Chapel St, North St High St Rugby Rd • Parking in the village is a big issue on Chapel St and access down the road • One way system around the village req'd as a consequence of J19 upgrade and re directed traffic off Rugby Rd • Some aspects should improve when the A14/M1/M6 is completed (i.e. local road only) • gritting in winter diabolical • make centre of the village a one way system • Traffic through village significantly reduced since alterations to Catthorpe junction • Parking will always be a problem in a village with old houses! A one way system around the centre block (Chapel Street) might help congestion. • Those responses are based on current transport issues. They may change when the motorway junction works are completed. These issues need to be re-examined after completion of the motorway junction works. • Litter is a problem, especially after Stanford Hall events. Pollution and safety along Stanford Road on these events need to be looked at. • A one way system in the middle of village • There are places in the village where footpaths are narrow or missing, which makes walking with children more difficult. Pavement parking is a big problem, obstructing paths and making visibility difficult when crossing the road. There is a problem with cars and lorries speeding. • More traffic management on Stanford Hall event days. Village congested. • Highways agency/HDC need to ensure that Lutterworth Road is gritted in winter. Doesn't appear to be on gritting route at moment • Note Chapel St & North St should be made one-way • Road maintenance, potholes & broken edges; Verge cutting on all roads coming through village
3		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No off road parking available • Many villagers have excessively large vehicles and park irresponsibly on the road so they cannot complain about problems. • Leave space with cars for prams etc. • Our neighbours are a 5-car household with no garage or drive. They routinely park on the pavement either side of our driveway, blocking vision of the road until we are halfway out. We need better enforcement of fair road rules. Totally the pavement in both directions of our house is unfair and causes us to have to walk into the road with our child to get around it. If neighbours are not there, these pavements are blocked by people picking up children from school. • We have very limited space for visitors which is the same for our neighbours. It can make accessing our driveway difficult as on occasions there have been 5 or 6 additional cars in the vicinity. As traffic doesn't adhere to the 30mph when entering and exiting the village via Rugby Road it makes it rather dangerous. • Visitors park on the road

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog fouling is becoming a big problem as a dog owner it is frustrating people cannot pick up after their dogs. Maybe we need more dog bins & supplying bags • Sometimes cars have to be parked on the road. • Parking is determined by availability in North street • Rarely able to park on street outside own property due to neighbours' parking
8	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise & light pollution from new junction will be worse than before • Lack of lighting on kilworth Rd • Trying to take a buggy/child's trike around the village and avoid dog poo is impossible • Dog fouling is getting worse in the village. Especially around the school and Kilworth road. We have to dodge it daily doing the school run • No shops, no bus service : no development • There is very little parking space on the roads in Swinford. You can't always park outside your own house. Like tonight for example. • There are one or two inconsiderate parkers who live in the village. Others tend to be visitors - just a passing thought! • My house is often blocked in both directions by cars parked fully on the pavement, hence I have to walk into the road with my child to go round them. Council should step in! • Parking of commercial vans of one firm on/near junction of Rugby Road and Chapel lane • Definitely need to tackle kerb parking on footpath. Also as a dog owner (who is responsible) dog fouling around village is awful • What policing? • The dog fouling is getting ridiculous. I am a dog owner and I am embarrassed by other owners in the village. It's disgusting. • I wish that the parish council would act on the things that matter to the village rather than pursuing their own pet projects and personal agendas. E.g., the cemetery road - why weren't the people nearest even asked for their views! • Inconsiderate parking particularly on South Kilworth Road and around school • Lack of street lighting and poor street lighting • Fly tipping - This is likely to become worse with cuts to collection services and access to tips • Residents parking on pavements not a problem. School visitors often park in stupid places. • There is inconsiderate parking outside the pub and on the junction by the pub by customers – needs double yellow lines • Fly tipping going out of the village is a problem • This is how we feel about the village as it is now. If HDC have their way especially social housing, then we are very concerned about anti-social behaviour • The combination of dog fouling and poor street lighting can make walking through the village at night a very risky business. It's absolutely disgusting • Cars and vans parking on bends on the roads are a problem it will only get worst • Street lighting on all night • We have lots of issues with travellers, believed to be local. We feel very isolated as we get older so will have to invest in a nasty dog • Dog fouling is appalling in the village. North street needs to be more Policed at school times • Would be concerned over any potential attempts to develop landfill waste incineration in the Parish • State of roads / impact of A14 Closure
9	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NO x51 • Yes PIT Work for publishing industry • Book keeping/Accountancy • Yes, personal consultancy & holiday cottage rental

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Chequers • Farming • My home is my registered business address, but there is no traffic/footfall/impact on address. • Hairdressing • Yes accountancy • Psychology services • Part time only – as a quantity surveyor/construction consultant • Yes • Fly Fishing coaching (office only) • Farming • Yes - Book Keeping/Financial Services • Yes - Farm • Yes – Farmer • Yes Consultancy
	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A x21 • NO x20 • YES • Faster Broadband • Post Office • Better Mobile phone reception • USE THE CHEQUERS MORE OFTEN • Promotion of business • Improved broadband, even with BT Infinity still poor at times • Faster broadband • Faster broadband • Better broadband and mobile reception • Increased broadband speed. • No - Fibre broadband was all I needed • Neighbourhood watch scheme • Can't answer yes/no. depends on nature of business. E.g. no waste incinerator
	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No • Street lighting needs to be improved. Neighbourhood Watch • We are concerned at the deterioration in mobile phone reception in the area- the signal is very poor and complaints have had no effect. This was not a problem before work on junction commenced • Shawell Rd & Lutterworth rd. need to be improved if additional development is to take place • Parking on pavements is a big problem for buggies let alone someone with a visual impairment. Ditto Dog Poo- lots of lazy dog walkers in Swinford • The village needs more facilities for older children/teenagers. i.e. Basketball court, skate ramp, football pitch. A proper village green would also be great

- The village shouldn't really grow any further with additional properties else it risks no longer being a village
- Swinford has lost two shops & two care homes as it is too small to support them. It is unsustainable & illogical to accept more development without at least a shop & a bus service. 20 to 30 houses are not sufficient to justify either. HDC is not prepared to support any sort of bus service irrespective of the scale of any development in settlements. Not a very logical questionnaire. Many of the options cannot be addressed by a Neighbourhood Plan and some questions unrealistically raise expectations. Why is the Chairman not at the top of the list and identified as such?
- FACILITIES FOR FURTHER ACTIVITIES E.G. ENHANCE PLAY AREA, TENNIS COURT/SPORTS CARS(?) MORE PLACES FOR DOG WALKING
- I THINK THE VILLAGE SHOULD VIEW THE ADDITION OF HOUSES AS BEING POSITIVE. IN ORDER FOR THE VILLAGE COMMUNITY TO THRIVE, WE NEED TO ADD TO OUR NUMBERS. THERE SHOULD BE LIMITS ON NUMBER & TYPE OF [?]. TRAFFIC-CALMING SHOULD BE SEEN AS A "MUST DO". THERE WILL BE A SERIOUS ACCIDENT IF NOT ADDRESSED. LIMITING TO 7.5T VEHICLES WILL GREATLY REDUCE THIS RISK, AS WILL THE LOCAL SCHOOL TAKING OWNERSHIP FOR THE CHAOS, THAT THIS TDROP-OFF & PICKUP TIMES
- If the village were to expand, the amenities need to expand : especially public transport.
- Street lighting in parts of the village is inadequate, e.g. Lutterworth road.
- We did not pay a lot of money to live here and pay very high council tax rates to live here [only] to have the village made worse by forced additional housing & potential businesses & buses. We wish to remain [in] a quiet, rural village.
- Inconsiderate parking of large unsuitable vehicles at school collection and depositing and other busy school times
- Dog poo! Who doesn't pick it up? Disgusting.. picking poo off babies pushchair wheels from the pavement (not even been over grass) (some people let the village down)
- Noise from the motorway can be quite loud. Noise barriers alongside the M1 might improve matters
- The village needs a shop that is funded by ongoing funds such as the 'wind farm fund', this would allow for a permanent job to be funded as well and young people from the village to have some experience in working. Haven spoken to fellow villagers there are plenty of individuals who would volunteer their support with this also. The cemetery on Rugby Road is in a sorry state with graves overgrown. I know that planning has been granted for a roadway and parking (not tarmac) but tree studies and bird/bat studies need to take place. In my opinion there is no need for a roadway as there is parking available on the road. This again demonstrates that some members of the parish council not listening to the villagers. Unfortunately a lot of us cannot attend Tues at 7.30pm due to work commitments and even though we have emailed our concerns for attention these seem to be overlooked the majority of the time. I do believe that they seem to have their own agenda which is not necessarily the views of a lot of villagers, or ideas put down at the first instant.
- We moved here a little over 6 months ago. It was the calmness and quietness that attracted us to the village. For me it is so important to retain this. The size is perfect and I feel a large development would not only impact this but put additional pressure on a fantastic school.
- This questionnaire is useful in terms of giving people some voice but we are asked to pick '1 of 4 fields' for further development which means people have to be led to pick one - does this necessarily mean it is right?
- Path down to Stanford Hall. A number of people walk that way and the traffic can be very fast. A path would help dog walkers and people at the caravan park/events at Stanford Hall.
- Nobody wants new houses in their back yard but if we develop in a circle around the centre then it's fair. We have to expand if we want to maintain a pub and get the shop back. However if a plot has 20 houses planned by a developer we should push for 15 so we don't end up with cramped developments like Simons Close.
- When adding new business this would be 'cottage industries' not business that brings excessive transport and footfall
- Further plans of any planning permission before granted. Further plans of additional wind turbines.
- Yes, small new business ventures would provide extra employment

- Could the church be decommissioned and turned into a village hall/community centre with a mezzanine floor so an upstairs providing additional rooms/space, then knock down the present village hall and use as a car park. This has happened to a church where I came from. It looks stunning now inside, some upstairs rooms are let to small businesses in the village who do not wish to work from home. Our church cannot be left to rot away due to its central village location
- With the £13,000.00/year for 10 years left from the wind farm community funding, I think it is time to build a new village hall. If the current location of this was sold to a developer for building the new hall could be financed through that, the windfarm funding and others (lottery, legacy (John Mourant?) grant making trusts) etc. we could build a new village hall on the glebe with a car park that is fit for purpose
- Traffic has improved considerably with the changes at the interchange which has reduced speeding vehicles. This will have an impact on Stanford hall event traffic as they will find alternative routes probably through South Kilworth/Welford from A14. A one way system would be effective round the main block of the village.
- Section 4 Environment Map 3 indications. 1.The historical significance of the mud wall 2. The beautiful lime trees and footpath 3.
- The remains of the footpath from Rugby Road to Shawell Rd 4. The importance of the playing field to the whole village
- I think a small convenience shop newsagent would benefit the village but as a new resident I wouldn't change much
- The current village hall location causes huge problems for congestion-with parents dropping off and collecting children by car from various nurseries and after school/breakfast clubs. It would be far better to move it to a non-central location and use that land for affordable housing and parking spaces. The windfarm money could be spent on a grand project like this rather than lots of small pay-outs to various groups
- I believe as much green space as possible should be kept between the village and the motorway junction
- My answer to this questionnaire would be different if I had children or was retired. I'm concerned of the lack of facilities for older people especially for those who have lived in the village all their lives. Facilities such as assisted accommodation & public transport are very lacking
- Not opposed to a small amount of additional housing in the village but not a large estate
- New to village so unable to comment on some subjects raised
- Obstruction on pavement outside Chequers pub means people-families -children often have to walk on the road.
- As there are narrow windy roads providing access to the village and limited opportunities for employment within the village, the addition of a large amount of new properties in the village is not supported by adequate roads for commuters.
- A few more houses would be acceptable in the right area. A few more houses which are affordable for the younger generation. A small shop would also be a great asset.
- I think there be better use of the village hall. Activities, fitness etc. Clubs for the children and old.
- Children's play area needs better equipment and maintenance. Traffic through the village has increased, with increased speed and danger, since road works have started.
- New businesses- depends on the type and size of business. It's environmental impact and effect on parking/traffic. Parking on pavement causes obstruction leaving pedestrians to have to walk in the road and making it hazardous to cross the road where visibility is affected by parked cars. this is a real danger to pedestrian safety. Many of the stiles on the public footpaths around the village have wire mesh across them. This can make the paths inaccessible to walkers with dogs. if the dog is unwilling or unable to jump the stile, and the mesh prevents the dogs getting through. If there is an issue with livestock, perhaps gates could be introduced as an alternative
- Parking at school times is unacceptable by parents. Dog fouling needs to be addresses more aggressively. Speed of vehicles (particularly farm vehicles) in North street.
- Suggest each householder be allocated two on street parking permits. Beyond that an annual fee should be paid to PC for additional discretionary permits if street capacity allows